1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BARRACK, RODOS & BACINE STEPHEN R. BASSER (121590) sbasser@barrack.com sward@barrack.com 600 West Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0800 Facsimile: (619) 230-1874  ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLE Theodore Pintar (131372) tedp@rgrdlaw.com 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 231-1058 Facsimile: (619) 231-7423  Counsel for Plaintiff	* <u>*E-FILED - 10/4/10</u> *
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	In re CONSECO INSURANCE CO.	Case No.: C-05-04726-RMW
14	ANNUITY MARKETING & SALES	CLASS ACTION
15	PRACTICES LITIG.	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
16	This Case Relates To:	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SCHEDULING CLASS CERTIFICATION
17	This Case Relates 10:	ISSUES AND ORDER
18	)	
19	ALL ACTIONS.	
20	)	
20		
	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
22	Whereas, the Parties delayed proceedings on class certification issues while exploring	
23	settlement possibilities and proceeding to mediation with Judge Edward Infante (ret.) on three	
24	occasions, including February 25, 2010 and March 11, 2010;	
25	Whereas, the Parties, having previously been unable to resolve this lawsuit, believed that	
26	the case should move forward, even as they continued to explore settlement;	
27	Whereas, Plaintiff filed his Motion for Class Certification and supporting documents or	
28	June 7, 2010 to which Defendants responded on August 21, 2010;	
		1
	Ĵ	

1 Whereas, the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is presently scheduled 2 for October 8, 2010; Whereas, on September 14 and 15, 2010, the Parties engaged in further meaningful face-to-3 4 face settlement negotiations; 5 Whereas, the Parties are continuing final phases of negotiation that they believe may achieve a full and final settlement in resolution of this action; 6 Whereas, the Parties believe in good faith that the interests of efficient case management 7 and judicial economy merit a continuance of the pending hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Class 8 Certification to allow them to fully concentrate their efforts on reaching an amicable resolution, 9 and; 10 Whereas, the Parties believe that suspending all class certification discovery or briefing for 11 a reasonable period to allow them sufficient time to complete final settlement negotiations is 12 13 necessary. Now, therefore, Plaintiff and all Defendants hereby stipulate and agree to the following 14 revised schedule for class certification, subject to the Court's approval: 15 1. The hearing on Plaintiffs Motion for Class Certification shall be continued to 16 December 3, 2010; 17 2. Plaintiff shall file his reply to Defendants' response to the Motion for Class 18 Certification on or before November 24, 2010; 19 20 /// /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28

## Case 5:05-cv-04726-RMW Document 196 Filed 10/04/10 Page 3 of 4

1	3. If the matter is settled, the Parties will apply for preliminary approval within		
2	sufficient time so that such application shall be heard on December 3,2010 in lieu of the motion for		
3	class certification.		
4	DATED: September 27, 2010		
5			
6			
7	/s/ THEODORE PINTAR	/s/ JAMES J. DRIES	
8	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD	BAKER & McKENZIE LLP	
9	Co-Lead Attorneys for Plaintiff	Thomas A. Doyle James J. Dries	
10	Theodore Pintar 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	One Prudential Plaza 130 East Randolph Drive, Suite 3500	
11	San Diego, CA 92101	Chicago, IL 60601	
12	(619) 231-1058	(312) 861-8000	
13			
14	/s/ STEPHEN R. BASSER		
15	BARRACK, RODOS & BACINE  Co. Lood Attornova for Plaintiff		
16	Co-Lead Attorneys for Plaintiff Stephen R. Basser		
17	One America Plaza 600 West Broadway, Suite 900		
18	San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 230-0800		
19	(019) 230-0800		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

**ORDER** The Court having considered the above stipulation and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall comply with the schedule set forth above. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification shall be scheduled for December 3, 2010, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 6 of this Court. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should the parties achieve an amicable resolution of the action via settlement, they shall work diligently to prepare and execute settlement documents and shall seek preliminary approval of the settlement on December 3, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 6 of this Court, in lieu of a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification on that date. nald M. Whyte DATED: <u>10/4/10</u> United States District Court Judge